

**WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT**  
**SKB LANSING LANDFILL**

Date: 7-28-10 Inspector: C. Anderson

Time: 1:00 Weather Conditions: Sunny

		Yes	No	Notes
<b>CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84)</b>				
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		✓	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		✓	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		✓	
<b>CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))</b>				
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	✓		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppressants) prior to delivery to landfill?	✓		
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptible to fugitive dust generation?	✓		
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?		✓	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		✓	
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	✓		
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		✓	
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		✓	<u>None</u>

Additional Notes:

---



---

**WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT**  
**SKS LANSING LANDFILL**

Date: 7-21-20 Inspector: [Signature]

Time: 4:00 Weather Conditions: Sunny

		Yes	No	Notes
<b>CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84)</b>				
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		/	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		/	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		/	
<b>CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))</b>				
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	/		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppressants) prior to delivery to landfill?	/		
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptible to fugitive dust generation?	/		
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?		/	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		/	
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	/		
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		/	
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?	/		None

Additional Notes:

---



---



---

**WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT**  
**SKB LANSING LANDFILL**

Date: 7-14-20 Inspector: Chadway

Time: 11:30 Weather Conditions: Sunny

		Yes	No	Notes
<b>CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84)</b>				
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		✓	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		✓	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		✓	
<b>CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))</b>				
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	✓		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppressants) prior to delivery to landfill?	✓		
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptible to fugitive dust generation?	✓		
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?		✓	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		✓	
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	✓		
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	✓	✓	
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?	✓		No

Additional Notes:

---



---

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

SKB LANSING LANDFILL

Date: 7-7-20 Inspector: [Signature]

Time: 8:30 Weather Conditions: overcast

		Yes	No	Notes
<b>CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84)</b>				
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))</b>				
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppressants) prior to delivery to landfill?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptible to fugitive dust generation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<u>None</u>

Additional Notes:

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

SKB LANSING LANDFILL

Date: 6-30-20 Inspector: [Signature]

Time: 3:15 Weather Conditions: Cloudy warm 81

		Yes	No	Notes
<b>CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84)</b>				
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))</b>				
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppressants) prior to delivery to landfill?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptible to fugitive dust generation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<u>Yes</u>

Additional Notes: